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## The SOM Institute

The SOM Institute at University of Gothenburg, founded in 1986, conducts interdisciplinary survey research and organizes seminars on the topics of Society, Opinion and Media (hence the name SOM). The Institute is jointly managed by the Department of Journalism and Mass Communication, the Department of Political Science and CEFOS (Center for Public Sector Research) at University of Gothenburg.

The Institute is headed by Professor Sören Holmberg, Department of Political Science, Professor Lennart Weibull, Department of Journalism and Mass Communication, and Associate professor Lennart Nilsson, Center for Public Sector Research.

## National SOM

From 1986 till 1997, the core of the SOM Institute has been an annual nationwide survey, National SOM, carried out every autumn in the form of a mail questionnaire to 2800 randomly selected persons between the ages of 15 and 80 . Since 1998 the survey has more than doubled, and now comprising 6000 respondents with an increased age limit to 85 .

The central questions addressed in National SOM are attitudes toward mass media, politics and public services. A report summarizing the main results of each year's survey is published annually. The data files from the surveys are deposited at the Swedish National Data Service in Gothenburg. The results on the following pages are based on data from National SOM.

## Western and Southern SOM

Beginning in 1992, a similar survey has been conducted in Western Sweden. Called Western SOM, this survey was originally limited to Gothenburg and its surrounding municipalities. The survey has since 1998 been widened, to comprise the entire Västra Götaland Region with a sample of 6000 persons. Since 2001 four regional surveys have been conducted in Southern Sweden (Skåne) as well.

## Local SOM

In the fall of 1996, a series of local surveys was conducted for the first time in three districts of Gothenburg and in one neighbouring municipality. The sample size was 1 200 respondents per sample area. The purpose of these local surveys is to better analyze the connection between people's living conditions and their attitudes, perceptions and behaviour.

## Student SOM

To help generate a wider interest in SOM, Student SOM was introduced in 1993. It is based on a questionnaire issued to all first-year students at the three departments, from the year 2000 to the whole social science faculty, with questions concerning their studies. Student SOM also contains items from National SOM and provides an opportunity to compare students with the general public as well as making it possible to explore methodological issues.

Office Location:
The SOM office is located at the Department of Journalism, Media and Communication (JMG).

Åsa Nilsson (+46 3178612 39) and Sanna Johansson ( +463178641 45) are project directors and Kerstin Gidsäter (+46 3178612 17) is responsible for administration and publishing.
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## SOCIAL TRENDS



ASSESSING SWEDISH ECONOMY

"According to your view, during the last twelve months, has the Swedish economy improved remained the same, or worsened?"


Comment: All respondents are included in the percent calculations.

Principal investigators: Sören Holmberg, phone: +46 31786 1227, e-mail: soren.holmberg@pol.gu.se Lennart Weibull, phone: +46 31786 1218, e-mail: lennart.weibull@jmg.gu.se



Comment: The results are percent indicating very or fairly much confidence minus percent indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

Principal investigators: Sören Holmberg, phone: +46 31786 1227, e-mail: soren.holmberg@pol.gu.se Lennart Weibull, phone: +46 31786 1218, e-mail: lennart.weibull@jmg.gu.se
"How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"


Comment: The results are percent indicating very or fairly much confidence minus percent indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

CONFIDENCE IN INSTITUTIONS


Comment: The results are percent indicating very or fairly much confidence minus percent indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

Principal investigators: Sören Holmberg, phone: +46 31786 1227, e-mail: soren.holmberg@pol.gu.se Lennart Weibull, phone: +46 31786 1218, e-mail: lennart.weibull@jmg.gu.se


Comment: The results are percent indicating very or fairly much confidence minus percent indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

Principal investigators:
Sören Holmberg, phone: +46 31786 1227, e-mail: soren.holmberg@pol.gu.se Lennart Weibull, phone: +46 31786 1218, e-mail: lennart.weibull@jmg.gu.se


Comment: The results are percent indicating very or fairly much confidence minus percent indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

## Question:

"How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions/groups do their job?"


Comment: The results are percent indicating very or fairly much confidence minus percent indicating fairly or very little confidence (opinion balance). The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little".

Principal investigators: Sören Holmberg phone: +46317861227 , e-mail: soren.holmberg@pol.gu se Lennart Weibull, phone: +46 31786 1218, e-mail: lennart.weibull@jmg.gu.se


Comment: The results are percent indicating very or fairly much confidence. The percentages are based on the respondents answering each individual item, including those who marked "no opinion". Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much, nor little; Fairly little; Very little; No opinion".

"List which associations you are a member of, and

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oj } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { প্耳 } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ন }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ®가 }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ®}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ন } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ® } \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | O-8 | +i- | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | - | $\stackrel{1}{8}$ | - | N | ¢ | a ¢ ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gone to the movies | - | 41 | 41 | 37 | 41 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 40 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 42 | 37 | 37 | 43 | 41 | 39 | 42 | 44 | 41 | 46 | 44 |
| Attended the theater | - | - | 23 | 23 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 22 |
| Gone to a restaurant/bar/pub in the evening | - | - | - | - | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 32 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 33 |
| Discussed politics | 25 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 34 | 39 | 33 | 37 | 42 | 33 | 29 | 33 | 28 | 25 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 27 | 25 | 35 | 24 | 22 | 22 |
| Attended a church service or religious meeting | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| Been active on the stock market* | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 19 | 24 | 18 | 24 | 17 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 14 |
| Bet or played the lottery | - | 35 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| Smoked/used snuff** | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 28 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Smoked** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 |
| Used snuff** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| Travelled abroad | - | - | - | - | 21 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 30 |
| Consumed liquor, wine or beer | - | - | - | - | - | 28 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 29 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 39 | 42 | 40 | 44 | 46 | wording in 1996-1997 and 1998 resectively differs somewhat from that used from 1999 onwards. ** The results for 1987 - 2004 combine Smoked/Used snuff, starting in 2005 "smoked" and "used snuff" are shown separately. Items: Spent time in the outdoors (forest, sea or lake); engaged in exercise or sport; gone to the movies; attended the theater; read a book; discussed politics; attended a church service or religious meeting; bet or played the lottery; smoked/used snuff; consumed liquor/wine/beer?" Response alternatives:"Never; About once a year; About once every six months; About once every three months; About once a month; About

"How often have you engaged in the following activities during the past twelve months?"

## DRINKING LIQUOR/WINE STRONG BEER REGULARY



Comment: Figures are based on at least weekly activity. Percentages are based on respondents answering at least one item of a multiitem question on lifestyle and leisure activities. A "-" indicates that the question was not included in the survey this year. Response alternatives to the question asked: "Never; About once a year; About once every six months; About once every three months; About once a month; About once a week; Several times a week"


Comment: The scale runs between 0 and 10 with 0 labled "It is not possible to trust people in general", and 10 "It is possible to trust people in general". Percentages are based on all respondents, including "Don't knows" (2-6 percent through the years).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { প্子 } \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | ন্নু | ন | ウ | ণু | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{\gamma}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ம} \\ & \text { の } \\ & \text { 가 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | O | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ষ } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | O | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health | 91 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 88 | 86 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 87 | 85 | 85 |
| Freedom | 82 | 88 | 86 | 85 | 82 | 84 | 80 | 82 | 81 | 83 | 84 | 82 | 82 | 81 |
| Honesty | － | － | － | 89 | 86 | 84 | 86 | 85 | 85 | 86 | 86 | 84 | 80 | 81 |
| A world at peace | 88 | 91 | 87 | 89 | 84 | 87 | 83 | 84 | 86 | 86 | 87 | 84 | 79 | 81 |
| Family security | 80 | 84 | 78 | 81 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 83 | 80 | 82 | 84 | 82 | 79 | 79 |
| Love | 75 | 75 | 76 | 75 | 76 | 76 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 77 | 75 | 76 | 75 |
| Inner harmony | 75 | 77 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 75 | 74 | 76 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 74 | 70 | 72 |
| Justice | 76 | 82 | 79 | 83 | 78 | 83 | 75 | 79 | 76 | 79 | 79 | 75 | 73 | 71 |
| True friendship | － | 78 | 76 | 79 | 75 | 72 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 73 | 75 | 73 | 70 | 68 |
| Happiness | 67 | 69 | 70 | 70 | 68 | 66 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 66 | 69 | 66 | 65 | 65 |
| National security | 69 | 75 | 71 | 72 | 71 | 72 | 64 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 72 | 65 | 60 | 61 |
| A comfortable life | 52 | 54 | 53 | 57 | 56 | 53 | 59 | 57 | 59 | 58 | 63 | 61 | 61 | 60 |
| A clean world | 80 | 78 | 71 | 75 | 70 | 69 | 69 | 67 | 61 | 63 | 68 | 56 | 54 | 56 |
| Equality | 48 | 53 | 48 | 54 | 46 | 52 | 45 | 48 | 49 | 54 | 58 | 52 | 53 | 48 |
| A world of beauty | 57 | 57 | 54 | 56 | 54 | 56 | 52 | 52 | 51 | 49 | 53 | 46 | 46 | 43 |
| Self－respect | 42 | 44 | 42 | 44 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 43 | 41 | 42 | 45 | 41 | 40 | 36 |
| Wisdom | 29 | 36 | 36 | 38 | 36 | 37 | 34 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 37 | 32 | 34 | 30 |
| A life full of pleasure | 22 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 29 | 34 | 31 | 29 | 28 |
| Self－fulfilment | 26 | 32 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 29 | 23 |
| An exciting life | 21 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 28 | 25 | 28 | 23 |
| Technical advance | 21 | 33 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 32 | 24 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| Social recognition | 15 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 22 | 18 | 1 | 16 |
| Wealth | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| Salvation | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Power | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 6 |

Comment：The results show percent respondents answering＂Very important＂．Percentages are based on those answering at least one item．Five response alternatives to the question asked：＂Very important；Fairly important；Neither important，nor unimportant；Not very important；Not at all important．＂Thanks to Karl Erik Rosengren and Bo Reimer for introducing the Rokeach questions in the SOM Studies

Sören Holmberg phone：+46317861227 ，e－mail soren holmberg＠pol．gu．s Lennart Weibull，phone：＋46 31786 1218，e－mail：lennart．weibull＠jmg．gu．se


Comment: Percentages are calculated among respondents who answered the question. The two negative response alternatives are combined into "Not satisfied" in the figure. Four response alternatives to the question asked: "Very satisfied; Fairly satisfied; Not very satisfied; Not at all satisfied."


Comment: The results show percent answering "Very" or "Fairly much" confidence. The percentages are based on the respondent answering each individual item. Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Very much; Fairly much; Neither much nor little; Fairly little; Very little; No opinion".


Comment: The results show percent answering "Very worrying" among persons who answered at least part of the question Over the years asked about for some twenty issues/problems. The response alternatives are: "Very worrying; Somewhat worrying; Not particularly worrying; Not at all worrying."


## POLITICAL TRENDS



|  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ন্নㄱㄱ } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{7}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ন } \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\text { ® }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ब } \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | O ㅇ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-i } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { Ni } \end{aligned}$ | ǹ | + | 능 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No} \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | - | or |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Left Party | 3,1 | 2,9 | 4,7 | 7,7 | 7,5 | 5,1 | 3,9 | 3,0 | 6,8 | 13,5 | 12,7 | 9,5 | 12,3 | 14,6 | 15,5 | 12,1 | 8,3 | 9,3 | 8,9 | 5,7 | 5,8 | 5,6 | 6,2 | 5,3 |
| The Social Democratic Party | 44,8 | 42,3 | 43,6 | 35,5 | 30,4 | 34,7 | 43,4 | 45,7 | 43,4 | 31,7 | 31,8 | 33,1 | 35,5 | 31,2 | 32,2 | 38,6 | 41,6 | 37,5 | 35,3 | 36,4 | 32,7 | 39,1 | 39,0 | 28,9 |
| The Green Party | 5,5 | 7,8 | 8,4 | 7,5 | 4,7 | 3,8 | 2,7 | 3,0 | 5,1 | 12,4 | 8,4 | 7,5 | 5,6 | 5,7 | 4,6 | 3,6 | 4,0 | 5,5 | 5,4 | 5,8 | 7,6 | 7,8 | 7,4 | 10,6 |
| The Center Party | 7,9 | 6,3 | 10,7 | 8,3 | 8,9 | 8,0 | 6,4 | 5,8 | 7,7 | 6,3 | 6,8 | 5,0 | 4,7 | 3,9 | 4,0 | 6,7 | 6,7 | 7,9 | 7,0 | 6,8 | 7,8 | 6,1 | 5,5 | 4,5 |
| The Liberal Party | 17,7 | 19,9 | 11,8 | 15,7 | 13,6 | 9,5 | 7,4 | 9,1 | 8,2 | 5,4 | 6,6 | 6,4 | 5,1 | 5,1 | 4,8 | 4,2 | 16,6 | 12,4 | 10,4 | 8,9 | 7,2 | 9,3 | 7,2 | 7,8 |
| The Christian Democratic Party | 1,2 | 1,9 | 3,6 | 3,2 | 5,6 | 9,0 | 2,6 | 3,9 | 3,7 | 3,4 | 3,7 | 4,3 | 11,8 | 12,8 | 13,1 | 10,8 | 8,0 | 7,7 | 5,1 | 4,5 | 7,2 | 4,9 | 4,1 | 3,8 |
| The Conservatives | 18,8 | 16,5 | 15,5 | 22,1 | 29,3 | 22,6 | 23,1 | 22,9 | 23,8 | 27,3 | 27,0 | 30,6 | 22,5 | 24,7 | 23,4 | 21,7 | 11,8 | 16,9 | 23,3 | 27,5 | 27,0 | 22,4 | 24,3 | 29,1 |
| The New Democratic Party | - | - | - | - | - | 7,3 | 10,5 | 6,6 | 1,3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| The Sweden Democratic Party | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 2,1 | 2,5 | 4,5 | 3,8 |
| Other parties | 1,1 | 2,5 | 1,7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,0 | 3,7 | 2,5 | 2,0 | 2,3 | 2,7 | 3,0 | 2,9 | 4,6 | 4,4 | 2,6 | 2,3 | 1,8 | 6,2 |
| Sum Percent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Percent No Party | 5,8 | 10,2 | 9,7 | 14,0 | 17,8 | 16,3 | 9,5 | 10,1 | 7,1 | 9,7 | 10,7 | 11,1 | 6,0 | 10,8 | 9,8 | 10,1 | 6,4 | 8,5 | 10,3 | 9,1 | 7,4 | 8,0 | 6,8 | 5,3 |




Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").
"Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale where would you place the different party leaders?


Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").
" Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale where would you place the different party leaders?"


Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").
"Generally speaking, how much do you like or dislike the party leaders? Using this scale where would you place the different party leaders?"


Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").


Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").


Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like").


Comment: The results are based on answers on a dislike-like scale running between -5 ("Dislike") and +5 ("Like"). The numbers have been multiplied by ten to avoid decimals. Consequently, the scale runs between -50 ("Dislike") and +50 ("Like"). The results are averages for the two spokespersons of the Green Party.



Question:
Comment: The results show percent respondents answering "Very" or "Fairly well/bad". The percentages are based on all
"How well do you think the Government Fairly well; Neither well, nor badly; Fairly badly; Very badly".
is doing its job?"

## SATISFACTION WITH THE WORKING OF DEMOCRACY



Comment: The results show percentages responding "Very" or "Fairly satisfied" among people answering the questions.
Four questions asked: "Satisfied with the way democracy works ... 1) In your country, 2) In your region, 3) In your local government and 4) In the European Union."

## GENERALIZED TRUST IN SWEDISH POLITICAINS




Comment: The results show percent answering "Very good" or "Fairly good" proposal among persons who answered each item. Six response alternatives are to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal; No opinion".

## POLITICAL ISSUES

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 앙 } \\ & \text { ㄱㄱㄱ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 각 } \\ & \text { न } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { スे } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ 극 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 숙 } \\ & \text { 익 } \end{aligned}$ | 6 욱 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { नेㄱㄱ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { 욱 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ब) } \\ & \text { ㅇㄱㄱ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { 아 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\quad-1 \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | OO | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \hline \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ○ } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{N} \end{aligned}$ | N | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment | 15 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 39 | 49 | 59 | 58 | 51 | 58 | 50 | 51 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 20 | 33 | 44 | 22 | 22 | 37 |
| Health care | 24 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 30 | 41 | 39 | 41 | 38 | 43 | 33 | 29 | 29 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Environment | 53 | 62 | 46 | 32 | 38 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 27 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Education | 12 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 22 | 34 | 38 | 35 | 37 | 32 | 23 | 18 | 19 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 20 |
| Swedish economy | 8 | 10 | 9 | 32 | 24 | 39 | 29 | 32 | 24 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 14 |
| Immigrants/Refugees | 7 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 19 | 25 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 14 |
| Pensions/Elderly care | 10 | 10 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 17 | 19 | 15 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 12 |
| Law and order | 20 | 13 | 38 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 25 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 9 |
| Social policy | 3 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 9 |
| Taxes | 7 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Family/Child care | 6 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Gender equality | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Communication | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Energy/Nuclear power | 8 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Religion/Ethics | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Agriculture/Regional policy | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| EU/Euro | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Number of respondents | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\underset{\text { - }}{\underset{\text { V }}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u } \\ & \text { దे } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\omega} \\ & \underset{\sim}{w} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \omega \\ & \underset{O}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & w \\ & \underset{v}{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\omega}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}}{\underset{\sim}{\omega}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |



Comment: All respondents are included in the percent calculations. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Abolish nuclear power quickly; Abolish nuclear power, but not until our present reactors have done their job; Use nuclear power and renew the reactors when they are worn out; Use nuclear power and build additional reactors in the future; No definite opinion."


Comment: The results are means ranging between 1 ("Low risk") and 10 ("High risk"). Response alternatives to the question asked were offered in the form of a scale ranging between 1 ("Very little risk") and 10 ("Very large risk").

SOCIETY OPINION MEDIA


Comment: All respondents who answered each question are included in the percentage base. The result for Wave Power was 52 percent in 2006, 54 percent in 2007, 57 percent in 2008 and 59 percent in 2009. Five response alternatives to the question asked:"Mort than today; About as today; Less than today: Abolish/give up the energy source completely; No opinion".
should Sweden go in for the foll
 proposal; Very bad proposal"


Comment: The results are percent in favour of a proposal minus percent opposed (opinion balance). All respondents who answered any item in the battery of questions are included in the percent calculations.
"Convert public utilities like Swedish Telecom into private enterprises; increase the proportion of health care operated by private interests; let private enterprises handle care for the elderly; give more recourses to free schools". In all four cases response alternatives were: "very good proposal; fairly good proposal; neither good, nor bad proposal; fairly bad proposal; very bad proposal?
 Fairly good idea; Neither good, nor bad idea; Fairly bad idea; Very bad idea".


Comment: The results show percent answering "Very good/bad" or "Fairly good/bad" among respondents who answered the question. Five response alternatives to the question asked:"Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good, nor bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal".

## MEDIA TRENDS



Questions:

Comment: The results show percent of all respondents reading a morning paper in print or online at least five days a week, reading an evening tabloid in print or online at least three days a week, and watching the specified TV news show at least five days a week.

" Do you read or look into a morning paper regularly? If yes, write down the name of the paper or papers and state how many days you usually read or look into it"; "Do you or anybody else in your household subscribe to a newspaper?"; "How long time do you normally spend with your local morning paper on an average weekday?"

Comment: The results show percent of all respondents reading at least one morning paper at least five days a week (except reading on the internet). Average reading time among readers at least once a week.


NEWSPAPER SUBSCRIPTION

Comment: The figure shows the percentage among those who have considered abolishing a newspaper subscription. The percent having considered abolishing their newspaper subscription is shown for each year below the figure. It shows the " percentage of those having a newspaper subscription. For the first part of the question the response alternatives were: "No; Yes, very occasionally; Yes, several times; Undecided, don't know; Do not subscribe to any newspaper". In the second part fixed response alternative were given, of which six are mentioned in the figure. The question is asked every second year from 2004.
"Have you considered abolishing your newspaper subscription/s that you have, or has it been discussed in your family during the last half year? If yes, for what GOTHENBURG


Comment: The results are means running from 10 ("Very unimportant") to 70 ("Very important"). The data for the years 1979 and 1983 are taken from previous non-SOM studies, based on mail questionnaires. The study is carried out every fourth year Seven response alternatives to the question asked ranging from "Unimportant" to "Very important". The question is asked every fourth year.
"How important do you personally consider the following local newspaper contents to be?"
 UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Comment: The results show per cent of all respondents listening at least five days a week to any public service channel and any
private radio channel respectively. Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Daily; 5-6 days a week; 3-4 days a week; private radio channel respectively. Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Daily; 5-6 days a week; 3-4 days a week; 1-2 days a week; More seldom; Never".
"How often do you listen to the following


Comment: The results show per cent of all respondents listening at least five days a week to any public service channel and any private radio channel respectively. Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Daily; 5-6 days a week; 3-4 days a week; 1-2 days a week; More seldom; Never".

## HOUSEHOLD ACCESS TO NEW MEDIA TECHNOLOGY



Comment: The results show the percent among all respondents indicating access to the specified equipment in their household. Minor changes in the age composition of the sample over the years affect the level of penetration of media like video, CD-players and PC/internet with a few percentage points.

INTERNET USAGE


Comment: The results show percent among all respondents using the Internet several times a week. Seven response alternatives to the question asked: "Never; About once/twelve months; About once/six months; About once/every month; About once/every week; Several times a week".


Comment: The results are percent indicating "Very much confidence" or "Fairly much confidence" minus percent indicating "Fairly little confidence" or "Very little confidence (opinion balance). Percentages are based on respondents who answered at least parts of the question.



Comment: The results show per cent watching the programme category at least on a weekly basis. Percentages are based on respondents answering at least one question item. Non of the categories were measured 2006 and 2007. News and Current Affairs were also not measured 2005.
"How often do you normally watch programmes in the following TV channels?


Comment: The result show percent reading a book on at least a weekly basis among respondents who answered at least parts of a multi-item question on leisure activities. Six response alternatives to the question asked: "Never; About once a year; About once every six months; About once every three months; About once a month; About once a week; Several times a week".

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\otimes}{7} \end{aligned}$ | 육 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | O- | Nì | No | ষ্ণ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\circ}$ | Nờ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local News | 85 | 89 | 88 | 84 | 88 | 85 | 88 | 87 | 85 |
| Radio/TV | 58 | 67 | 69 | 53 | 59 | 58 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Foreign News | 57 | 67 | 67 | 57 | 55 | 56 | 59 | 58 | 60 |
| Family News | -- | 54 | 62 | 52 | 58 | 53 | 57 | 56 | 56 |
| Letters to the editor |  | 52 | 59 | 47 | 52 | 48 | 53 | 48 | 50 |
| Sports | 43 | 43 | 43 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 43 | 43 | 45 |
| Culture | 24 | 32 | 37 | 31 | 42 | 29 | 34 | 35 | 37 |
| No of respondents | 1451 | 1542 | 1493 | 1573 | 1524 | 1544 | 1412 | 1299 | 1395 |

Comment: The results show percent respondents answering "Everything/almost everything" or "Fairly much". The results refer to the population reading a local newspaper at least once a week. Percentages are based on respondents who answered at least one question item. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Everything/almost everything"; "Fairly much"; "Not very much; "Nothing/hardl, anything"; "Don't know".

## SWEDEN AND THE WORLD



Comment: The results show percent answering: "Very/fairly good" or "Very/fairly bad" among respondents who answered the question. There was no SOM measurement in 2008. Five response alternatives to the question asked: "Very good proposal; Fairly good proposal; Neither good nor, Bad proposal; Fairly bad proposal; Very bad proposal."


Comment: The results show percent answering "Very good proposal" or "Fairly good proposal". Only respondents answering the

## SWEDISH MEMBERSHIP IN THE EUROPEAN UNION


"What is your opinion of the Swedish


Question:
Comment: Only respondents answering the questions are included in the percent calculations.
Five possible answers to the claim: "very good proposal; fairly good proposal; neither good, nor bad proposal; fairly bad proposal; very bad proposal."

